COMPUTER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU In the Matter of the Application of Richard Brummel, Index Number Petitioner AMEND ED Order to Show Cause with TRO in Special Proceeding -against-Village of East Hills, NY (for the Architectural Review Board and the Zoning Board of Appeals), Respondent ORIGINAL RETURN DATE OOTH Upon reading and filing the petition of Richard Brummel, sworn to on March 13, 2013, and upon the exhibits and affidavits attached to the petition; Let the Respondent show cause at IAS Part of this Court, to be held at the Courthouse, 100 Supreme Court Drive, day of o'clock in the tore noon or as soon as the parties to this proceeding may be heard why an order should not be made, providing the following relief: (1) With respect to various below-cited decisions taken at the East Hills Architectural Review Board (ARB) meeting of March 4, 2013, Respondent

will be (a) required to accept as appeals to the East Hills Zoning Board of

Appeals (ZBA), and stay action pending resolution thereby, decisions

718

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NASSAU

	PRESE		STICE OF THE S	SUPREME COURT	- -
RECEIPT			Application of Richard Brummel,		003/09/13 Index Number
Printed:03-18-2013 @ 9:51:56 NASSAU COUNTY MAUREEN O'CONNELL COUNTY CLERK				AMEND ED	Order to Show Cause with TRO in Special Proceeding
Trans#: 91048 RICHARD BRUMMEL					
Ref#: IN 13003109 Ctl#: 734 Rec:3-18-2013 @ 9:51:50a			NV (for the Arch	itectural Paview R	pard
DOC DESCRIPTION	TRANS AMT		NY (for the Architectural Review Board d of Appeals),		
1 BRUMMEL, RICHARD	Α	~	a of Appeals),	ON SEQUENCE 4	
2 EAST HILLS ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE - Ste Fee-motion/cross		VILLA		WALRETUCK DATE.	
	I bake	45.00	THE REST		
*** Total charges:		45.00		3,878,825	I Complete and the second second
CASH PMT PAYMENT -CASH 45.00			ing the petition of Richard Brummel, sworn to on March		
			he exhibits and	affidavits attached	to the petition;
501	Let the Respondent show cause at IAS PartRoom				
	of this Court, to be held at the Court ouse, 100 Supreme Court Drive,				
	Mineola NY on the 5 day of 30, 20/3 at 9:30				
	o'clock in the fere noon or as soon as the parties to this proceeding				
	may be heard why an order should not be made, providing the following				roviding the following
	relief:				
	(1) With	respect to	various below-	various below-cited decisions taken at the East Hills	
	Architectural Review Board (ARB) meeting of March 4, 2013, Respondent				

will be (a) required to accept as appeals to the East Hills Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA), and stay action pending resolution thereby, decisions

taken by the ARB which Petitioner has objected to and filed appeals regarding, to wit tree removal and/or building applications heard at that ARB hearing for the following properties in the Village: 55 Oakdale Lane, 35 Wildwood Lane, 70 Oak Drive, and 15 Fir Drive; OR (b) be required to nullify the ARB decisions on the above noted properties taken at said meeting because they were arbitrary and capricious and an abuse of discretion; AND (c) enjoined from further processing said applications, enjoined from issuing any permits thereon, and required to rescind and stop work on any permits already issued for said applications, upon the ground that the decisions taken violated, by substantive and/or procedural errors as outlined in the supporting Petition, Village laws concerning "Tree Preservation and Protection", Village Code Chapter 186, and "Architectural Review Board Requirements and Review", Village Code Chapter 271 Article XX.

(2) With respect to various below-cited decisions taken at the East Hills Architectural Review Board (ARB) meeting of February 4, 2013, Respondent will be (a) required to accept as appeals to the East Hills Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA), and stay action pending resolution thereby, decisions taken by the ARB which Petitioner has objected to and filed appeals regarding, to wit tree removal and/or building applications heard at that ARB hearing for the following properties in the Village: 31 Pinewood Road; 205 Elm Drive; 27 Midwood Cross; and 57 Red Ground Road; OR (b) required to nullify! the ARB decisions on the above noted properties taken at said meeting because they were arbitrary and capricious and an abuse of discretion; AND (c) enjoined from further

processing said applications, enjoined from issuing any permits thereon, and required to rescind and stop work on any permits already issued for said applications, upon the ground that the decisions taken violated, by substantive and/or procedural errors as outlined in the supporting Petition, Village laws concerning "Tree Preservation and Protection", Village Code Chapter 186, and "Architectural Review Board Requirements and Review", Village Code Chapter 271 Article XX.

Pending the hearing of this Motion it is Ordered that:

- (a) Respondent is ordered to stay action and schedule for ZBA hearing the decisions on the cited applications decided by the ARB on March 4, 2013; OR
- (b) Respondent is ordered to nullify said decisions and instruct the ARB to recommence processing of those applications, repairing any and all defects heretofore existent; AND
- (c) Respondent is ordered to halt issuance of any permits, rescind any permits already issued, and stop any work pursuant to any permits so issued for the cited properties. ALSO,
- (d) Respondent is ordered to stay action and schedule for ZBA hearing the decisions on the cited applications decided by the ARB on February 4, 2013, OR
- (e) Respondent is ordered to nullify said decisions and instruct the ARB to recommence processing of those applications, repairing any and all defects heretofore existent; AND
- (f) Respondent is ordered to halt issuance of any permits, rescind any permits already issued, and stop any work pursuant to any permits so issued

ARP JSC.

> J.SC MR J.SC

ALC:

15.C.C

J.50

for the cited properties.

Sufficient cause appearing therefor, let personal service of a copy of this order, and the petition and other papers upon which this order is granted, pursuant to CPLR 3// (a) a upon the respondents on or before the 22 day of 2013 be deemed good and sufficient service hereof. An affidavit or other proof of service shall be presented to this Court on or before the return date directed in the second paragraph of this order.

ENTER

J.S.C.