VILLAGE OF EAST HILL **BOARD OF TRUSTEES** PUBLIC HEARING May 23, 2012

8:00 p.m.

PRESENT:

MICHAEL KOBLENZ, MAYOR

EMANUEL ZUCKERMAN, DEPUTY MAYOR

GARY LEVENTHAL, TRUSTEE

PETER ZUCKERMAN, TRUSTEE

CLARA POMERANTZ, TRUSTEE

VILLAGE ATTORNEY: WILLIAM BURTON, ESQ.

Reported by: Christa Flash, R.P.R.

MAYOR KOBLENZ: Motion to open the public 1 2 hearing? TRUSTEE LEVENTHAL: So moved. 3 MAYOR KOBLENZ: All in favor? 4 (Whereupon, all Board members answered in 5 the affirmative.) 6 MAYOR KOBLENZ: The first item is we have 7 kept open the tree statute for comments from 8 residents. We got a number of comments which are 9 going to be reviewed with the entire record, and 10 tonight I would move to close the hearing on that, on 11 12 the tree statute, so that we can evaluate the comments and come up with suggestions. 13 May I have a motion? 14 DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: So moved. 15 MAYOR KOBLENZ: All in favor? 16 (Whereupon, all Board members answered in 17 18 the affirmative.) 19 20 MAYOR KOBLENZ: Okay. Motion to open the 21 public hearing to discuss floor area ratios, 22 construction, and issues relating to the ARB as well as current construction going on in the village. 23 TRUSTEE P. ZUCKERMAN: So moved. 24 25 MAYOR KOBLENZ: All in favor?

(Whereupon, all Board members answered in 1 the affirmative.) 2 MAYOR KOBLENZ: The public hearing is open. 3 The way this will operate is that if you wish to 4 speak, you will identify yourself, your address, your 5 name on the record, and you can address those 6 particular issues. 7 Who wants to go first? 8 9 Nobody? 10 Oh, okay. Sir? You have to identify yourself. 11 MR. SCHEINBAUM: Joel Scheinbaum, 260 Revere 12 13 Road. 14 MAYOR KOBLENZ: Thank you. 15 MR. SCHEINBAUM: I would like to know why the decisions of the Architectural Review Board and 16 the Zoning Board are not put on the web. I went to 1.7 the web this week. The latest addition is for 18 permission to reconstruct the firehouse. That 19 decision was made in 2011. I think we can be more 20 21 current than that. MAYOR KOBLENZ: We agree. That's being 22 23 taken care of. 24 MR. SCHEINBAUM: Thank you. MAYOR KOBLENZ: It has been addressed with 25

1	village counsel as well as counsel for the Zoning
2	Board and the ARB. So they're in the process of
3	doing the work to get it out.
4	MR. SCHEINBAUM: Will it be all on the web?
5	MAYOR KOBLENZ: Yes. I just can't tell you
6	exactly when.
7	MR. BURTON: Since the time we received your
8	valid suggestion
9	MAYOR KOBLENZ: We got your e-mail.
10	MR. BURTON: we did respond to you and we
11	did take care of it today.
12	MAYOR KOBLENZ: It's a process, but it's
13	being done.
14	MR. SCHEINBAUM: Okay.
15	MAYOR KOBLENZ: Okay. Next?
16	Stand up and identify yourself.
17	MR. BRUMMEL: Richard Brummel. I live at 15
18	Laurel Lane in East Hills.
19	Can I stand over there?
20	MAYOR KOBLENZ: Stand anywhere you want.
21	MR. BRUMMEL: Thanks a lot.
22	I have a prepared statement. Shall I give
23	it to the transcriptionist?
24	MAYOR KOBLENZ: You should give it to us and
25	then to
	1

1	MR. BURTON: Do you have one statement?
2	MR. BRUMMEL: Yes.
3	MR. BURTON: Give it to her.
4	MAYOR KOBLENZ: There's only one copy?
5	MR. BRUMMEL: Unfortunately, yes.
6	There are a couple of things I'll put on the
7	record afterwards.
8	MAYOR KOBLENZ: Wait a minute. Is that your
9	statement that's going on the record?
10	MR. BRUMMEL: Yes.
11	MAYOR KOBLENZ: Okay. I think you should
12	read the statement into the record.
13	MR. BRUMMEL: I'm going to.
14	MAYOR KOBLENZ: Okay. Fine.
15	MR. BRUMMEL: I also have a few papers that
16	I'm also going to submit.
17	MAYOR KOBLENZ: That's fine.
18	MR. BRUMMEL: This meeting was proposed in
19	January or February and passed by the Board to
20	consider a moratorium on construction and tree
21	removals, asked for by residents in Norgate, to
22	prevent the destruction at 37 Laurel Lane. Now that
23	property has been demolished and two huge trees are
24	gone. The developer has cut a hole from lot line to
25	lot line, and now a neighbor's 75-foot tree has been

so damaged it's going to be removed by the builder on the neighboring property. So much for the moratorium we asked for.

You trustees now have a petition I gathered over two weekends that covers excess tree removals and overbuilding. About seven dozen signatures I gathered over four weekend days going door to door throughout the village. I'll give you a copy of that tonight to be part of this record, as well.

After the tree hearing last month it seemed like no one cared. There was a very small turnout. Now, based on the petition, you know differently. 8:00 p.m meetings are not a way to gauge community concern.

I have since learned that this is no new issue. You passed a law in 2005 that promised to fix this issue, rampant demolition and overdevelopment.

It obviously did not work, and you know that.

I just want to quickly quote from that law. That law said — this is from 2005 — the recent trend of tearing down existing homes and replacing them with expansive houses or building extremely large additions to existing houses threatens the appearance, integrity, health, safety, welfare and quality of life of the residents of East Hills.

That's in the Village Code. It's Section 271-225. The recent trend as of February 2005.

Now, you passed a law in 2005 that promised to fix this issue, rampant demolition and overdevelopment. It obviously did not work and you know that.

I have reviewed building codes in Great Neck Estates, Munsey Park, Mamaroneck, and a few other places in here. There is not much difference that I could see as a layman. This tells me that developers are probably rampaging everywhere, which is no surprise.

MAYOR KOBLENZ: Would you just slow down a little, please?

TRUSTEE LEVENTHAL: Slow down a little bit.
You're driving her nuts.

MR. BRUMMEL: I'm sorry.

So that change needs to come from within, within our Championship Community. On Laurel Lane we saw all the backyards disappear on new building.

Obviously 20 or 30 feet of backyard is not significant with the size of the houses that are being built. The original builder in Norgate and possibly other areas knew that when they built the large yards that I grew up in, sixty feet about, and

they need to be preserved as much as possible.

These building issues cut across income levels in East Hills and the attitude of the residents does, as well. All segments of the community, Norgate, Strathmore, Fairfield Park, Country Estates, Nob Hill, Lakeville Estates, et cetera, everywhere people are unhappy about the overbuilding, the lack of setbacks and the devastation of our tree canopy that results from the building.

The Architectural Review Board is not doing the job it was intended to do. It is not preserving the neighborhood's character, it's not protecting neighbors from intrusion and offensive bulk, and it's not preventing trees from being destroyed.

when I went to a professional, independent architect with the Laurel Lane designs of 37 Laurel, he wrote an extensive critique of the design based on East Hills Code from blank walls to inappropriate cosmetic features to failure to respect the natural contours of the lot, all parts of the East Hills Code, but the ARB would not even hold a proper publically-announced hearing to review that information. Incidentally, a judge found there was sufficient basis to grant an injunction, which, as

you know, I then failed to enforce due to my own lack of nerve at that point.

MAYOR KOBLENZ: The record speaks for itself.

Keep going.

MR. BRUMMEL: Thank you, Mayor.

I'll also quote from -- I won't make that mistake ever again. Believe me.

I'll also quote from the architect's report.

"For the following reasons and observations outlined below, I believe the subject proposal is out of character and will have negative adverse impacts on the existing neighborhood, as defined in the East Hills ARB Code."

I'll just quote it. "The right side and the left side of the house have continuous expanses of unrelieved wall surfaces, lacking fenestration or --"

MAYOR KOBLENZ: Slow down.

MR. BRUMMEL: "The right side and the left side of the house have continuous expanses of unrelieved wall surfaces, lacking fenestration or detail. The proposed 'Gambrel' roof introduces a new roof style which does not promote the existing character, appearance and aesthetics of the adjacent 'Colonial' homes. The overall roof ridge height

appears prominent and out of character with the neighboring houses due to the two broad frontal facing Gambrel dormers."

This is a very experienced architect speaking. He's critiquing the ARB. I think this is the first time an architect, an independent architect, has ever looked at their work and evaluated it by independent judgment who has no vested interest.

I'll quote one more.

"The proposed Doric columned porch introduces a 'Classical' style which is not the predominant existing style of the neighborhood. The porch projects into the front yard up to the maximum allowable front setback of 30 feet, while the original home has a setback of 38 feet with no porch. For these reasons the front of the proposed house will have negative visual impacts on the pre-existing street line."

And he concludes in the second page, "As an architect having presented proposed projects before 34 different Architectural Review Committees in the Tri-State area in the past 18 years, and having served on the ARC Board of Incorporated Village of Munsey Park for seven years, I am familiar with the

needs, goals and aspirations of a community committed to protecting the character of their neighborhood by seeking the balance and harmony of various architectural styles."

"In my professional opinion -- " and then he says, obviously, this did not work. I'll submit that into the record, as well.

So that's the ARB. That's the professional critique of the ARB.

I'll continue my statement.

But the Zoning Board of Appeals is also ineffective to protect neighborhoods. When the firehouse issue was up, they did not require any studies. They did not require a state environmental review. They did not protect the residential character, and it was done in the middle of the night until I notified neighbors and the newspapers about what was going on.

The common denominator in all of this is you, the Board. You, the village leaders, have not been requiring better performance or showing concern about what has been going on despite obvious and freely-expressed concern by many neighbors and residents. All I had to do was ring people's doorbells to hear the frustration and

dissatisfaction. I, a stranger in East Hills, you surely must have known that these issues were not fixed.

In fact, I met with Mayor Koblenz in 2009 about a rebuilt neighboring house next to my own which towers and looms over the property line where it used to be, the original house, a demure, harmonious, modest presence for 45 years, even with the additional family room in the '70s or so. The mayor dismissed all my complaints, as I'm sure he has done with others and as he did with the firehouse.

A vast number of people in East Hills apparently do not trust this village government.

MR. BURTON: Excuse me.

MAYOR KOBLENZ: I have had it.

MR. BURTON: You're out of order. If you're going to castigate the Board, if you're going to say something about the Board, this is about zoning. If you want to give your opinions about zoning, that's fine, but you can't -- you can't try to denigrate this Board.

MR. BRUMMEL: The Board is the root of the problems here.

MR. BURTON: I don't care. You talk about a future, you talk about change, tell them what you

1 want. Don't criticize. 2 MR. BRUMMEL: You're not allowed to sanction 3 someone during a public hearing. 4 MR. BURTON: When you start stalking 5 about --6 MR. BRUMMEL: I'm talking about facts that 7 affect the performance in this village, and these are relevant to the issue. Okay? I'm asking the Board 8 9 to do a better job of its --10 MAYOR KOBLENZ: This Board has done an excellent job, and I hope that you don't need the 11 12 ambulance or the firehouse for your family, for your 13 mother or for yourself. So I don't want to hear any more of your criticism about the firehouse and things 14 that aren't relevant. If you have anything 15 16 constructive to say, say it. 17 we're going to tape this and Hilda here is 18 going to tape it? Be my guest. We stand on our 19 record. You got a few signatures from people? 20 a lot of e-mails that are contrary to what you have 21 said. 22 MS. GOLDBERG: You're not even a resident. 23 MAYOR KOBLENZ: You don't even live here. 24 okay? So it's enough already. 25 MS. GOLDBERG: People need to know you're

1 not a resident. 2 MR. BRUMMEL: I do live here. 3 MS. GOLDBERG: No, you don't. Do you pay 4 Is your name on a deed? All you're doing is causing problems for this neighborhood. 5 6 MAYOR KOBLENZ: It's enough. 7 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Do you pay taxes here? 8 MR. BRUMMEL: I'm not answering that 9 question. 10 MAYOR KOBLENZ: If you don't have anything 11 constructive to say -- if you have something 12 constructive to say about the ARB, we'll listen to 13 it. So far you've said nothing constructive. If you 14 have something constructive about floor area 15 ratios --16 MR. BRUMMEL: You're not allowed to censor 17 comments during the course of a public hearing. 18 MAYOR KOBLENZ: You can tell me whatever you 19 want. 20 MR. BRUMMEL: This is being transcribed, and 21 you will answer for it --22 MAYOR KOBLENZ: I'll answer for it? 23 MR. BRUMMEL: -- and the slander, as well. 24 MAYOR KOBLENZ: You know what? Keep 25 talking. I don't have to listen to your crap.

(Whereupon, Mayor Koblenz stepped down from 1 2 the dais.) 3 (Applause.) 4 (Whereupon, a cross-discussion between the 5 audience was held off the record.) 6 DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: How much more do 7 you have? 8 MR. BRUMMEL: I have a few more comments and 9 suggestions. 10 DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: You've got two 11 minutes. You're on the clock. 12 MR. BRUMMEL: A vast number of people in 13 this community do not trust this village government, 14 and they do not look up to it because of issues such 15 as overbuilding. 16 DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: Okay. You cannot 17 attack the government. 18 MR. BURTON: You can't state for the people. 19 DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: You're offering 20 comments that are incorrect and inaccurate. 21 MR. BRUMMEL: Well, that's my opinion. 22 That's why we have freedom in this country and the First Amendment. I'm speaking to the record about 23 this issue of overbuilding and the lack of 24 25 responsibility that you are taking.

1 DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: Well, then address 2 it towards the issue of overbuilding. Address it 3 towards the issue, not towards the Board or anybody else. 4 5 MR. BRUMMEL: But the Board is the source of these problems. 6 7 DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: The Board is not the source of these problems. 8 9 MR. BRUMMEL: But who is the source? The builders? The ARR? 10 11 DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: Are you going to 12 address the --13 MR. BRUMMEL: I'm going to finish my 14 statement. 15 DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: All right. 16 MR. BRUMMEL: We need a far better 17 administration of the laws in this village. 18 should not have approved excavation plans suddenly destroying many trees and even neighboring ones. 19 20 That is the result of poor administration. 21 Your boards need to be opened up to a 22 transparent appointment process, and new blood needs 23 to be added to each of them. Term limits are needed. 24 People on these boards become cliquish, ingrained,

overly familiar or overly convinced of their own

25

1	infallibility. There are many issues that come
2	together on this crisis in land-use policy
3	DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: All right. That's
4	it. Your time is up.
5	MR. BRUMMEL: honesty, candor,
6	accountability.
7	DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: Your time is up.
8	MR. BRUMMEL: I seriously question whether
9	this village is up to it.
10	MR. BRUMMEL: I'd like to submit these
11	papers.
12	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you very much for
13	representing us. We need you.
14	MR. BRUMMEL: Here you go. This is the
15	letter from the architect. This is the petition.
16	DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: Just put them down.
17	Does anybody have any other comments or have
18	we had enough comments?
19	Yes, sir?
20	MR. WEISS: Matthew Weiss, 105 Heather
21	Drive.
22	So I've been to a few Zoning Board meetings
23	and residents need to get variances for certain
24	things they need to do, but those variances, in
25	certain circumstances, are always given.

I'll give an example to the Board. If there's 100 feet of square footage you don't need a variance, but if you have less than a hundred feet on your street you need to get a variance. There are many houses that were built with less than 100 feet of frontage. When they go before the Zoning Board -- excuse me. I'm talking.

There are many houses, like in Canterbury, in Fairfield, that don't have 100 feet of frontage, of street frontage, and they come to the Board, they pay an extra fee, they have to wait, and now they have to get a variance that is going to be given.

So I'm going to urge this Board to reconsider those rules, the Zoning Board, I'm sure, can tell you the others, like the size of the lot, that are routinely granted and require residents to waste their money and their time.

DEPUTY MAYOR ZUCKERMAN: Okay. Any other comments?

Yes? Hilda, can you identify yourself, please?

MS. YOHALEM: Sure. Hilda Yohalem, 76 Great Oaks Road, and I'm the president of the Norgate Civic Association.

It happens that this house, which is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

directly behind me, is the one that was demolished. and for the past four weeks, I would say, they have been digging there. It's from morning until -- it's most of the day, then they stop, and they have mountains of dirt on one side, then they move it to the other side. This has been going on for four weeks or so, and to look at this is horrible.

(Whereupon, Mayor Koblenz returned to the dais.)

And I have trees across my back. There's a hedge there, and I'm very concerned about them. been told they may not be -- the roots of those may not be in danger, but there are others. There are a whole bunch of trees, and it's going to change the whole area where we live, because there's one tree -there are a couple of trees in the back here and on the side. Then there are other trees over here, and they're all in danger because they've been doing nothing but digging.

MAYOR KOBLENZ: Are you done?

MS. YOHALEM: And I don't think it's fair to -- this has never happened. As far as I know this has never happened before in such a way.

MAYOR KOBLENZ: Joel?

MR. SCHEINBAUM: I'm still Joel Scheinbaum.

changed.

4

6

5

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MAYOR KOBLENZ: I know. You haven't

MR. SCHEINBAUM: I've gotten a little younger, though.

The suggestion that I would make is that you change some of the numbers on the zoning so that we don't wind up with houses that are so big. Beauty is in the eye of the beholder. If a house is out of proportion to the neighborhood, the Zoning Board should do something different. Preserving trees, yeah, that would be very nice, but when you break eggs, you're going to have to dig up roots and you're going to have to do things like that.

The Chairman of the Architectural Board said he does it for safety. I agree. You can't just rip out the roots of a tree and expect it to survive, but the reason you're ripping out roots is because the houses are too big.

MAYOR KOBLENZ: In response to your constructive comment, this Board has engaged Nelson Pope, who were involved with us a couple of years back when we did the floor area ratios and the height setbacks, to study it, and we're going to be appointing a committee to work with them to come up with recommendations.

Now, while some people thought that the recommendations were too liberal, you have to weigh the community and the needs of the community. If a couple is buying a house that is 70 years old and they want to move in, they have the right to knock it down and not live in a house that has 70-year-old appliances, and they have a growing family, and most of the time that I have seen this is that these young couples are buying these homes from elderly residents who are moving on and are retiring. These houses, which they're buying lots for anywhere between six to \$800,000 and then putting up nice houses, and there are a lot of nice houses, only increase the value of everyone else's home in this village.

This village has to understand and the residents who are complaining, which are a minority, I might say, because I got a lot of e-mails that said some of the suggestions that came at the public hearing regarding the tree law, you know, were excessive, but we have to weigh the people's needs. All right?

No one is buying a house here. Okay? You want to say you want it to stay the way it was, that's fine, but those residents who want to move aren't going to be able to sell their homes. All

right? And their lifetime investment is now going to be worth very little as opposed to how living next door to a house that is now valued at \$1,200,000, that house now goes for more money. All right?

Yes, there are some issues regarding density. There are some issues regarding size that were addressed years ago that we're going to relook at. But one thing we did do was each neighborhood has its own specialized rule. What you can build in Country Estates or in Lakeville you can't build in Norgate or Fairfield Park. That's how we balanced it out, and we're going to go back and do that again. We're going to have our committee and we have our outside engineer that is very, very qualified to do this and to work with us. Okay? So it's being addressed. Okay?

As far as the trees, by the way, just for the record, I just had 30 trees planted on Glen Cove Road in Harbor Hills and another 20 are going into the park. Trees grow. Okay? And we're planting trees. And the trees that are dangerous need to come down. And anybody who -- and I've got a lot of e-mails about criticism about LIPA trying to take away the dead trees or take away the branches that could destroy our power. A lot of people came back

24

25

and said I don't want to live in the dark like we did with Irene. If the residents in this village took care of their trees and made sure that they were properly trimmed, then we wouldn't need to have that kind of work done. It's not done.

I can tell you many residents will say to you I can't afford to take that tree down even though it's dead and it's going to fall over and knock down the lines. So we're doing it. Okay? We're having LIPA do it, at their expense. No village tax money is being spent. People have to understand that.

This Board works tirelessly to protect this village, and any indication that we have, you know, shirked our duties I take personal umbrage about. The firehouse is for the good of this neighborhood, and those ambulances and those fire trucks save lives. And anyone who thinks that, oh, well, if it's a bigger firehouse, there's more noise, they're not running to the firehouse to get on a truck and ride out in the middle of the night. They only go out if there's a call and there's an emergency.

So any kind of stories that went out about noise factors and everything else, the Zoning Board restricted the number of trucks that can be in that new facility. It was not OSHA compliant.

built in the '60s. It's being rebuilt. It is a great asset to this village and for the safety of our residents. Nobody is getting on a truck to ride around and make noise. They're getting on a truck to save your life, and if that's not good enough, I'm sorry. There's nothing better we can do. There was nothing done in the middle of the night. That's another thing that I listened to.

we've done everything proper. The ARB works tirelessly to try and get an even understanding of what the village's needs are. Not everything is perfect. I venture to say nobody in this room is perfect. Everyone makes a mistake. Everyone's judgment is different. But we try and do the best we can.

This park wasn't built because people said, oh, we shouldn't take a tree down and we shouldn't build this park for the residents. Okay? You know how many trees came down to build this park and the pool that you all use? Okay? Come on. And the only reason that people move into this neighborhood is because of the park that we built, and the housing values are up because of the park we built.

So let's take everything in its perspective before we start criticizing everything that everybody

does. We listen to everybody's needs. We're going forward with studying it. We had a tree hearing. We got a lot of comments, some very constructive comments. We're going to analyze it and we'll come back to the public and that's it.

Does anyone else have anything to say that is not --

(Applause.)

-- that has not spoken?

I'm not recognizing you. You did enough.

Yes?

MS. LEVY: Ramona Levy, 27 Laurel Lane.

Is there a way that you can't put some kind of law in effect that people who do live in the area, that they do take care of their trees?

MAYOR KOBLENZ: We have a property
maintenance statute. Okay? But in order to do the
property maintenance statute, we would have to have a
licensed arborist go house-to-house and it would cost
us a fortune and then tell the person you must take
the tree down.

Now, some people -- you know, it's a bad economy. You're going to tell somebody, hey, you have to spend \$3,000 to take down a tree? I mean, you got to be fair to people. Okay? You got to

understand the needs of people. 1 2 There is a property maintenance statute, and 3 if we see something that's really bad, you know, we'll talk about it, but I can't see this village 4 going to people's homes and saying you got to spend 5 three to \$5,000 to get rid of those trees. It's just 6 not fair, and I don't want to do things that aren't 7 8 fair and that don't work for everybody. 9 MS. LEVY: The other thing I want to say is 10 I just to want thank the ARB and the village for 11 acting so promptly in removing this tree that was 12 damaged during construction. 13 MAYOR KOBLENZ: You're welcome. 14 MS. LEVY: It's happening tomorrow. 1.5 MAYOR KOBLENZ: Yes, it is. 16 MS. LEVY: And I also want to thank you for 17 letting me park the extra car in the lot over here. 18 MAYOR KOBLENZ: If you get a ticket, you 19 know --20 MR. BURTON: You got to pay those fines. 21 MAYOR KOBLENZ: -- you've got to pay those 22 fines. 23 Anyone else who hasn't spoken? 24 Janna, do you want to identify yourself? 25 MS. GOLDBERG: Janna Goldberg, 90 Oak Drive.

1 MR. BURTON: Stand up, Janna. 2 MS. GOLDBERG: Why do I have to stand up? We all know what I look like. 3 4 Could we address sport courts in backyards 5 and put that into consideration, about the size of 6 our lots, depending on what size sport court somebody 7 is allowed to have in their backyard, since we've had 8 some issues recently that we had to address? 9 MR. BURTON: This is Kathy Eisner 10 (phonetic). She's from Nelson Pope. 11 Oh. Hi, Kathy. I didn't MS. GOLDBERG: 12 know who you were. 13 MAYOR KOBLENZ: Well, we were keeping her a 14 secret. She's taking notes and observing all the 15 things that are --16 MS. GOLDBERG: All the psycho people. 17 MAYOR KOBLENZ: Anything else? 18 MS. GOLDBERG: That was one thing. Another thing I just want to address, I'm 19 20 here with fellow ARB members, and I have to tell you 21 that we do this as a volunteer position. We don't 22 get paid. We do this because we love this community, 23 and I cannot believe that there are people out there 24 that only have hatred and meanness to say about 25 people that volunteer their time. I don't see those

name?

same people volunteering their time to do the things that we do.

So, you know, volunteer, do these jobs, and then you have something to say. Don't say something when you don't know what is actually going on.

Because you know what? I have other things going on in my life, too. I get five, six, eight, ten e-mails a day until the next ARB meeting, especially, when it came to the tree at 37 Laurel. Okay? I had to deal with that issue all day yesterday. And then we had to make sure that it was an emergency and that Mrs. Levy would not have to worry, that she could go to sleep at night and the tree wouldn't fall on her house.

So people need to know the facts before they go and start screaming at a Board meeting until they know what's going on, because, in all honesty, I'm sick and tired of seeing the ARB every week in the Roslyn News saying things about us that are totally not true. So, you know what? Know what you're talking about.

MAYOR KOBLENZ: Thank you.

Motion to close the hearing?

Oh, I'm sorry. You'd like to speak? Your

1	MS. YOUNG: My name is Elaine Young. I live
2	at 1 High Hollow Road.
3	First I want to thank you for taking down
4	the trees with LIPA. It was a great help.
5	MAYOR KOBLENZ: You're welcome.
6	MS. YOUNG: I'm a consultant for Ambert
7	Energy. We're an escrow energy company, and I was
8	wondering who I might speak to who would be in charge
9	of helping the village and the residents save money
10	on their gas bills?
11	MR. BURTON: We are doing that.
12	MAYOR KOBLENZ: We are doing that.
13	MS. YOUNG: Who would I speak to, though?
14	MAYOR KOBLENZ: We're off the record.
15	(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the
16	record.)
17	MAYOR KOBLENZ: Motion to close the hearing?
18	TRUSTEE P. ZUCKERMAN: So moved.
19	MAYOR KOBLENZ: All in favor?
20	(Whereupon, all Board members answered in
21	the affirmative.)
22	* CERTIFICATION *
23	The foregoing is certified to be a true and accurate transcript of my original stenographic notes for
24	the above-mentioned proceedings.
25	Christa Flash, Official Court Reporter
	,